

IRON OVERLOAD

DR. Baghersalimi

1400



IRON OVERLOAD

- ▶ Iron overload is **inevitable** in thalassemia major and intermedia
- ▶ **Blood transfusion** being the major cause of iron overload in **TM** and increased **GI absorption** being more important in **TI**
- ▶ Human body **lacks** a mechanism to **excrete** excess iron
- ▶ Iron accumulation is **toxic** to many tissues, causing **heart failure**, **cirrhosis**, liver cancer, growth retardation and **endocrine** abnormalities

IRON OVERLOAD

- ▶ Chelation therapy aims to **balance** the rate of iron **accumulation** by increasing iron **excretion** in urine /stool with chelators
- ▶ A key **challenge** is to **balance** the **benefits** of chelation therapy with **side effects** of excessive chelation
- ▶ The second major challenge is to achieve **regular adherence** to treatment throughout life
- ▶ **Even short** periods of treatment **interruption** can have **damaging** effects
- ▶ **Convenience** and **tolerability** of drugs , **psychological** well being and family and institutional **support impact** on adherence and outcomes.

The Rate of Iron Loading

Blood transfusion

- ▶ A unit of PC contains 200 mg of iron
- ▶ According to the routine transfusion scheme, this is equivalent to 116-232 mg of iron/ kg Wt/year
- ▶ Regular blood transfusion therapy therefore increases iron stores to many times
- ▶ In TM, the iron absorbed from GI is small

The Rate of Iron Loading

Increased gastrointestinal absorption of iron

- ▶ Normal **GI** iron absorption is about **1-2 mg/day**
- ▶ In **TI**, iron absorption increases **several-fold**
- ▶ **Transfusion** to keep the pre-transfusion **Hb > 9 g/dl** have been **prevent** such expansion
- ▶ In TM with **poorly transfused**, **absorption rises** to 3-5 mg/day or more, or **additional 1-2 g** of iron loading per year

The Rate of Iron Loading

Table 1. Iron loading rates in the absence of chelation.

PATIENTS WEIGHT	20 kg	35 kg	50 kg	65 kg
Pure red cell volume ml/ year	2,000-4,000	3,500-7,000	5,000-10,000	6,500-13,000
Yearly iron loading (g)	2.3-4.6	4.1-8.2	5.8-11.6	7.5-15.1
Daily Iron loading (mg)	6.3-12.6	11.2-22.5	15.9-31.8	20.5-41.4

Toxicity from Iron Overload

Mechanisms of iron toxicity

- ▶ Iron is **highly reactive** and, generates harmful **free radicals**
- ▶ These can damage **lipid membranes**, organelles and **DNA**, causing **cell death** and **fibrosis**
- ▶ In health, iron is '**kept safe**' by binding to molecules such as **transferrin**,
- ▶ In **TM** the **capacity** to bind iron is **exceeded**
- ▶ The **resulting 'free iron'**, **damages many tissues** unless treated by iron chelation therapy
- ▶ Free iron **also increases** the risk of **infections** and **neoplasia**

Toxicity from Iron Overload

Distribution and consequences of iron overload

- ▶ In iron **overload**, **transferrin** becomes **saturated** and **iron** are present in **plasma (NTBI)**
- ▶ The **distribution** of NTBI is **different** from transferrin uptake
- ▶ **Organ damage** in TM reflects the pattern of tissue iron uptake from **NTBI**
- ▶ Some tissue are **spared** such as **skeletal muscle**, **BUT:**
- ▶ Myocardial muscle, endocrine tissue and hepatocytes **take up NTBI rapidly**
- ▶ This iron is **then stored** as **ferritin** or hemosiderin

Toxicity from Iron Overload

- ▶ The **myocardial iron** overload can induce **heart failure** in patients without chelation in as **early** as the **second decade of life**
- ▶ Iron overload also **causes pituitary** damage, leading to **hypogonadism**, **growth** retardation and delayed puberty
- ▶ Endocrine complications such as **diabetes mellitus**, **hypothyroidism** and **hypoparathyroidism** are also seen
- ▶ **Liver disease** with **fibrosis** and eventually **cirrhosis** and **hepatocellular carcinoma**, particularly if concomitant chronic viral hepatitis is present, are also seen

Monitoring of Iron Overload

Serum ferritin

Why measure serum ferritin?

- ▶ Serum ferritin (SF) **generally correlates** with body **iron stores**,
- ▶ and is relatively **easy** and **inexpensive** to determine **repeatedly**.
- ▶ Serum ferritin is **most useful** in identifying **trends**.
- ▶ **Decreasing trend in SF** is **evidence** of **decreasing body iron**

But:

- ▶ **Absence of a decreasing** trend **does not exclude** a **decreasing iron burden**
- ▶ **Increasing SF** trend **implies an increasing iron burden** but:
- ▶ May **also** be due to inflammation or tissue damage

Monitoring of Iron Overload

Why measure serum ferritin?

- ▶ Long term control of SF is also a useful guide to the risk of complications from iron overload
- ▶ There is an association between the control of ferritin and prognosis
- ▶ There is a significantly lower risk of cardiac disease and death where ferritin $<2,500$ over a period of a decade or more
- ▶ Maintenance of an even lower ferritin of 1,000 may have additional clinical advantages

Monitoring of Iron Overload

What are the limitations of serum ferritin measurements?

- ▶ SF measures **do not always** predict **body iron** or trends
- ▶ In TM, **variation in body iron** accounts for **only 57%** of the variability in serum ferritin .
- ▶ This variability is in part because **inflammation** increases serum ferritin, and partly because the distribution of liver iron **between macrophages and hepatocytes** has impact on serum ferritin.
- ▶ **A sudden increase** in ferritin should prompt a **search** for **hepatitis**, other **infections**, or **inflammatory** conditions.
- ▶ **A lack of fall in SF** with chelation **does not** therefore **prove** that the patient is a **'non responder'**

Monitoring of Iron Overload

What are the limitations of serum ferritin measurements?

- ▶ **Body iron** can **fall considerably** from a high starting point **before a change in ferritin is clear**
- ▶ The **relationship** between **ferritin** and **body iron stores** may also vary depending on the **chelator** used and by **duration** of chelation therapy

Table 2. Use of serum ferritin for monitoring chelation treatment.

ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
Easy to assess repeatedly	Indirect estimate of iron burden
Inexpensive	Increased by inflammation
Trend identification possible with repeat samples	Cannot determine iron balance directly
Long term control linked to outcome	Non-linear response to iron load at high
Useful for dose adjustment as iron levels fall	Absence of decrease doesn't exclude response
	Relationship to iron load varies with chelator
	Relationship to LIC differs in different diseases

Liver iron concentration (LIC) measurement

Why monitoring liver iron concentration?

– To identify whether body iron is adequately controlled

- ▶ Adequate control of LIC is linked to hepatic as well as extrahepatic
- ▶ Normal LIC values are up to 1.8 mg/g dry wt, with levels of up to 7 mg/g dry wt seen in some population
- ▶ High LIC (> 15-20 mg/g dry wt) have been linked to worsening prognosis, liver fibrosis or liver function abnormalities .
- ▶ However, the relationship between LIC and extra-hepatic iron is complicated by chelation therapy as iron tends to be accumulate initially in the liver and later in the heart but also is removed more rapidly from the liver than the heart by chelation therapy
- ▶ Thus, in patients receiving chelation therapy, whilst high LIC increases the risk of cardiac iron overload, the measurement of LIC will not predict myocardial iron, and myocardial iron may be found despite well controlled LIC.

Liver iron concentration (LIC) measurement

Why monitoring liver iron concentration?

– To determine iron balance: is body iron increasing or decreasing on current therapy?

- ▶ LIC is the **most reliable** indicator of body iron load
- ▶ **Total body iron** stores in mg iron /kg body wt = **10.6 x LIC**
- ▶ **Sequential LIC** measurement is the best way to determine **iron balance**
- ▶ **LIC determination** should be **considered** for those patients whose **serum ferritin levels deviate from expected trends**

Liver iron concentration (LIC) measurement

Why monitoring liver iron concentration?

- To determine iron balance: is body iron increasing or decreasing on current therapy?*
- ▶ At **high levels of SF** (>4000), the **relationship to LIC** is **not linear** and patients may show a **fall in LIC** without a **clear trend in SF** in the first 6-12 months

Methods for measuring LIC

Biopsy

- ▶ Biopsy is an **invasive** procedure . Inadequate sample size (or uneven distribution of iron, particularly in the presence of cirrhosis , may give misleading results however. Biopsy also allows the evaluation of liver histology

SQUID Superconducting quantum interference device is **very expensive**

MRI

- ▶ Are now becoming the **most widely used** for LIC determination.
- ▶ The first widely used technique was the T2* technique
- ▶ **Unfortunately T2*** can underestimate LIC by two-fold. Therefore may **underestimate LIC**
- ▶ The **R2 technique** have **acceptable linearity** up to LIC values of about 30 mg/g dry wt

*Myocardial iron estimation: MRI T2**

- ▶ The risk of developing heart failure increases **with T2* values <10 ms**, and associated with a **160 fold** increased risk of heart failure in the **next 12 months**
- ▶ This risk **increases progressively** with T2* values <10
- ▶ Risk may be less in patients taking regular chelation
- ▶ In patients with severe cardiac iron load (T2* <10 ms), **no patients** developed **heart failure** over a 2 years period **while on iron chelation therapy**

Medical Imaging Center



Admission Number	930707026
Name	FOROOGH POORBORZO
Date of Birth	19910101
Height (cm)	151
Weight (kg)	45
Sex	Female

Dear Dr. Baghersalimi

Technique

ECG gated cardiac MR images were obtained for T2* calculation. Short axis images were prepared in different sequences. T2* and "Iron Load" values were calculated by "CMR Tools" software.

Findings

Organ	T2* (ms)	Loading (mg/g/dw)
Heart	22.66	
Liver	2.06	6.643281

Interpretation

Cardiac Iron Load: **Normal**
 Hepatic Iron Load: **Moderate**

with Best Regards

S Akhlaghpour MD
 Radiologist

A Shirkavand
 Physicist

Handwritten signature and stamp in Persian.

Guidelines for Iron Assessment

Myocardial Loading	Myocardial T2* (ms)
Normal	>20
Mild	14-20
Moderate	10-14
Severe*	<10

Hepatic Loading	Hepatic T2* (ms)	Dry Weight
Normal	>6.3	<2
Mild	2.8-6.3	2-5
Moderate	1.4-2.7	5-10
Severe	<1.4	>10

* In 89% of patients with heart failure, cardiac T2* is <10ms.

آدرس: تهران - خیابان سعادت آباد - خیابان بیست و پنجم (شهید قره تپه ای) - پلاک
 تلفن: ۸۸۶۸۷۹۱۷ - ۸۸۶۸۶۵۸۴ - ۸۸۶۸۴۷۰۶ - ۸۸۶۸۴۵۷۳ فکس: ۸۶۸۷۹۶۶
 o.s. 25th St., Saadat - Abad Ave., Tehran, Iran
 e: 88687970, 88686584, 88684706 Fax: 88687966

Table 4. MRI T2* method to assess myocardial iron.

ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES
Rapidly assessed iron content in myocardial septum	Indirect non-linear relationship with myocardial iron
Reproducible method	Requires a validated centre with dedicated methods
Linked to heart iron (reciprocal relationship)	Technically demanding
Potential to measure heart function at same visit	Methodology requires standardisation worldwide
Potential to measure LIC at same visit	Does not predict liver body iron overload
Linked to LVEF at time of measurement	Requires continuous quality assurance such as regular phantom scanning
Linked to risk of heart failure in next year	

Cardiac function

- ▶ Sequential monitoring of LVEF has been shown to identify patients at high risk of developing clinical heart failure
- ▶ When LVEF fell below reference values, there was a 35-fold increased risk of clinical heart failure and death, with a median interval to progression of 3.5 years, allowing time for intensification of chelation therapy
- ▶ This approach required a method for determination of LVEF (such as MRI),
- ▶ Echocardiography is too operator-dependent for this purpose
- ▶ Also, there is a need to identify high risk patients before there is a decline in LVEF
- ▶ MRI T2* can achieve this and has additional predictive value

Monitoring of other organ function

- ▶ There has been **recent interest** in using **MRI** for identifying iron damage to the **endocrine system**
- ▶ There is **good correlation** between **MRI** findings (**loss of pituitary volume**) and pituitary **damage**
- ▶ With improved MRI imaging, **other endocrine** organs have also been evaluated
- ▶ It is of interest, that there is **a close correlation** between **iron deposition in the heart** and deposition in **endocrine tissues**, such as pituitary and pancreas.

THANK YOU

